MONO COUNTY
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
SOLID WASTE DIVISION

POST OFFICE BOX 457 • 74 NORTH SCHOOL STREET • BRIDGEPORT, CALIFORNIA 93517
760.932.5440 • FAX 760.932.5441 • monopw@mono.ca.gov • www.monocounty.ca.gov

Mono County Solid Waste Task Force

Special Meeting of February 11, 2016
1:00-3:00 p.m.
CAO Conference Room
Sierra Center Mall, Mammoth Lakes

MINUTES

1. Call to order.

In Attendance:

SWTF Members:
Tony Dublino (Mono County)
Dan Holler (TOML)
Delinda Briggs (public at large)
Brian Robinette (SCP)
Jill Kearney (LEA)
Karl Schnadt (MCWD)
Tom Hodges (MMSA)
Kevin Brown (D&S Waste) via phone

Public:
Darrol Brown (D&S Waste) via phone
Elsa Jimenez (LADWP)
Jeff Walters (Mono County)
Lisa Isaacs

2. Public Comment on items not on the agenda.

None

3. Consider SWTF participation in Long-Term Solid Waste Planning and Recommendation to Board of Supervisors. To be discussed:

The essential questions from the Board--
Post-Closure of Benton Crossing Landfill,
What services should be provided?
What is the most efficient model to provide those services?
County isolated, County/Town, Regional

What kind of data and information is necessary to answer these questions?

Is this recommendation something the SWTF is capable and willing to provide?

Group engaged in discussion about several issues relating to providing a recommendation of the Board of Supervisors, including:

- *Is the SWTF was capable of providing an objective recommendation?*
- *Is the group willing to provide such a recommendation?*
- *What is the best way to move towards such a recommendation?*

There was general agreement that the group was willing to provide a recommendation, but wanted to look closer before committing to the task.

There was general agreement that a recommendation could be developed through consensus process.

There was general agreement that the SWTF was capable of providing an objective recommendation to the Board.

Preliminary discussion of data gaps in the existing reports, emerging programs, and how much additional analysis will be required. Data gaps and emerging ideas that were discussed included:

- *Consideration of present services vs. services of the future*
- *The Pumice Valley Landfill site*
- *Sludge Management*
- *Organics recycling*
- *Deconstruction / reclamation*
- *Regional facility*

Group discussed the importance of filing out membership of SWTF, and involving Inyo County in the process.

For March 17 meeting, group will look closely at data gaps in the existing reports and identify emerging programs, initiate some brainstorming/consensus-building activities, and based on the outcome of those exercises, decide on whether to commit to produce a recommendation.

4. Adjourn to March 17, 2016 meeting.