

June 12, 2018
Regular Meeting
Item # 4

Elections

Preliminary Results /
Survey

Election Summary Report

General Election

Mono County

June 05, 2018

Summary for: All Contests, All Precincts, All Tabulators, All Counting Groups

Summary Report -No Counting Group - 5th Unofficial Report ALL Precincts

Precincts Reported: 12 of 12 (100.00%)

Registered Voters: 3,309 of 6,169 (53.64%)

Ballots Cast: 6,643

GOVERNOR (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 12 of 12 (100.00%)

	Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot	Provisional	Total	
Times Cast	1,102	2,207	0	0	3,309 / 6,169	53.64%

Candidate	Party	Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot Precincts	Provisional	Total	
JOSH JONES		4	7	0	0	11	0.35%
J. BRIBIESCA		4	3	0	0	7	0.22%
GLORIA ESTELA LA RIVA		2	8	0	0	10	0.31%
PETER Y LIU		0	2	0	0	2	0.06%
ANTONIO VILLARAIGOSA		148	231	0	0	379	11.91%
YVONNE GIRARD		24	27	0	0	51	1.60%
ROBERT DAVIDSON GRIFFIS		9	2	0	0	11	0.35%
SHUBHAM GOEL		0	2	0	0	2	0.06%
TRAVIS ALLEN		122	259	0	0	381	11.98%
AKINYEMI AGBEDE		5	3	0	0	8	0.25%
JOHNNY WATTENBURG		0	2	0	0	2	0.06%
NICKOLAS WILDSTAR		6	4	0	0	10	0.31%
DESMOND SILVEIRA		1	0	0	0	1	0.03%
MICHAEL SHELLENBERGER		16	24	0	0	40	1.26%
ZOLTAN ISTVAN		6	10	0	0	16	0.50%
CHRISTOPHER N. CARLSON		1	8	0	0	9	0.28%
THOMAS JEFFERSON CARES		1	2	0	0	3	0.09%
JOHN CHIANG		61	100	0	0	161	5.06%
JOHN H. COX		303	540	0	0	843	26.50%
AMANDA RENTERIA		17	58	0	0	75	2.36%
DELAINE EASTIN		35	81	0	0	116	3.65%
JEFFREY EDWARD TAYLOR		0	0	0	0	0	0.00%
KLEMENT TINAJ		2	1	0	0	3	0.09%
HAKAN "HAWK" MIKADO		1	5	0	0	6	0.19%
ALBERT CAESAR MEZZETTI		3	0	0	0	3	0.09%
GAVIN NEWSOM		280	732	0	0	1,012	31.81%
ROBERT C. NEWMAN, II		7	10	0	0	17	0.53%
Total Votes		1,060	2,121	0	0	3,181	

		Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot Precincts	Provisional	Total	
K. PEARCE	WRITE-IN	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%
VERONIKA FIMBRES	WRITE-IN	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%
ARMANDO M. ARREOLA	WRITE-IN	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%
ARMAN SOLTANI	WRITE-IN	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%
PETER CRAWFORD VALENTINO	WRITE-IN	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%

LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 12 of 12 (100.00%)

		Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot	Provisional	Total	
Times Cast		1,102	2,207	0	0	3,309 / 6,169 53.64%	
Candidate	Party	Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot Precincts	Provisional	Total	
JEFF BLEICH		112	241	0	0	353	11.60%
ELENI KOUNALAKIS		155	419	0	0	574	18.87%
CAMERON GHARABIKLOU		16	10	0	0	26	0.85%
TIM FERREIRA		24	36	0	0	60	1.97%
DAVID FENNELL		159	284	0	0	443	14.56%
DANNY THOMAS		7	10	0	0	17	0.56%
COLE HARRIS		165	333	0	0	498	16.37%
ED HERNANDEZ		196	370	0	0	566	18.61%
DAVID R. HERNANDEZ		69	110	0	0	179	5.88%
LYDIA ORTEGA		68	142	0	0	210	6.90%
GAYLE MCLAUGHLIN		33	83	0	0	116	3.81%
Total Votes		1,004	2,038	0	0	3,042	
		Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot Precincts	Provisional	Total	
MARJAN S. FARIBA	WRITE-IN	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%

SECRETARY OF STATE (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 12 of 12 (100.00%)

		Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot	Provisional	Total	
Times Cast		1,102	2,207	0	0	3,309 / 6,169 53.64%	
Candidate	Party	Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot Precincts	Provisional	Total	
ALEX PADILLA		444	1,023	0	0	1,467	47.69%
GAIL K. LIGHTFOOT		32	46	0	0	78	2.54%
C. T. WEBER		12	13	0	0	25	0.81%
ERIK RYDBERG		10	22	0	0	32	1.04%
RAUL RODRIGUEZ JR		124	152	0	0	276	8.97%
MICHAEL FEINSTEIN		32	52	0	0	84	2.73%
RUBEN MAJOR		61	103	0	0	164	5.33%
MARK P. MEUSER		299	649	0	0	948	30.82%
Total Votes		1,015	2,061	0	0	3,076	
		Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot Precincts	Provisional	Total	

CONTROLLER (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 12 of 12 (100.00%)

	Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot	Provisional	Total	
Times Cast	1,102	2,207	0	0	3,309 / 6,169	53.64%
Candidate	Party	Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot Precincts	Provisional	Total
KONSTANTINOS RODITIS		398	739	0	0	1,137 36.98%
MARY LOU FINLEY		54	64	0	0	118 3.84%
BETTY T. YEE		565	1,252	0	0	1,817 59.09%
Total Votes		1,018	2,057	0	0	3,075
	Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot Precincts	Provisional	Total	

TREASURER (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 12 of 12 (100.00%)

	Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot	Provisional	Total	
Times Cast	1,102	2,207	0	0	3,309 / 6,169	53.64%
Candidate	Party	Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot Precincts	Provisional	Total
VIVEK VISWANATHAN		126	247	0	0	373 12.40%
JACK M. GUERRERO		284	472	0	0	756 25.14%
KEVIN AKIN		27	31	0	0	58 1.93%
GREG CONLON		176	387	0	0	563 18.72%
FIONA MA		379	877	0	0	1,256 41.77%
Total Votes		993	2,014	0	0	3,007
	Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot Precincts	Provisional	Total	

ATTORNEY GENERAL (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 12 of 12 (100.00%)

	Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot	Provisional	Total	
Times Cast	1,102	2,207	0	0	3,309 / 6,169	53.64%
Candidate	Party	Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot Precincts	Provisional	Total
DAVE JONES		150	235	0	0	385 12.70%
STEVEN C BAILEY		325	599	0	0	924 30.47%
XAVIER BECERRA		402	937	0	0	1,339 44.16%
ERIC EARLY		123	261	0	0	384 12.66%
Total Votes		1,000	2,032	0	0	3,032
	Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot Precincts	Provisional	Total	

INSURANCE COMMISSIONER (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 12 of 12 (100.00%)

	Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot	Provisional	Total		
Times Cast	1,102	2,207	0	0	3,309 / 6,169	53.64%	
Candidate	Party	Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot Precincts	Provisional	Total	
STEVE POIZNER		408	831	0	0	1,239	44.05%
RICARDO LARA		337	729	0	0	1,066	37.90%
NATHALIE HRIZI		60	95	0	0	155	5.51%
ASIF MAHMOOD		109	244	0	0	353	12.55%
Total Votes		914	1,899	0	0	2,813	
	Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot Precincts	Provisional	Total		

BOARD OF EQUALIZATION MEMBER DISTRICT 1 (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 12 of 12 (100.00%)

	Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot	Provisional	Total		
Times Cast	1,102	2,207	0	0	3,309 / 6,169	53.64%	
Candidate	Party	Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot Precincts	Provisional	Total	
TED GAINES		282	496	0	0	778	26.70%
CONNIE CONWAY		117	303	0	0	420	14.41%
DAVID EVANS		106	184	0	0	290	9.95%
TOM HALLINAN		463	959	0	0	1,422	48.80%
Total Votes		969	1,945	0	0	2,914	
	Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot Precincts	Provisional	Total		

U.S. SENATOR (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 12 of 12 (100.00%)

		Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot	Provisional	Total	
Times Cast		1,102	2,207	0	0	3,309 / 6,169 53.64%	
Candidate	Party	Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot Precincts	Provisional	Total	
GERALD PLUMMER		1	2	0	0	3	0.10%
TOM PALZER		17	36	0	0	53	1.73%
JOHN THOMPSON PARKER		2	6	0	0	8	0.26%
DOUGLAS HOWARD PIERCE		2	6	0	0	8	0.26%
HERBERT G. PETERS		23	25	0	0	48	1.56%
JAMES P BRADLEY		132	283	0	0	415	13.51%
ARUN K. BHUMITRA		15	28	0	0	43	1.40%
JERRY JOSEPH LAWS		19	32	0	0	51	1.66%
PATRICK LITTLE		20	12	0	0	32	1.04%
TIM GILDERSLEEVE		2	7	0	0	9	0.29%
MICHAEL FAHMY GIRGIS		0	0	0	0	0	0.00%
DON J. GRUNDMANN		2	6	0	0	8	0.26%
RASH BIHARI GHOSH		5	5	0	0	10	0.33%
LING LING SHI		0	3	0	0	3	0.10%
JOHN "JACK" CREW		23	31	0	0	54	1.76%
ERIN CRUZ		61	100	0	0	161	5.24%
DERRICK MICHAEL REID		10	25	0	0	35	1.14%
DIANNE FEINSTEIN		384	875	0	0	1,259	41.00%
COLLEEN SHEA FERNALD		0	3	0	0	3	0.10%
ADRIENNE NICOLE EDWARDS		18	14	0	0	32	1.04%
PAUL A TAYLOR		87	152	0	0	239	7.78%
DONNIE O. TURNER		7	12	0	0	19	0.62%
PAT HARRIS		22	32	0	0	54	1.76%
ALISON HARTSON		23	62	0	0	85	2.77%
JASON M. HANANIA		1	10	0	0	11	0.36%
DAVID HILDEBRAND		6	7	0	0	13	0.42%
LEE OLSON		4	5	0	0	9	0.29%
ROQUE "ROCKY" DE LA FUENTE		14	32	0	0	46	1.50%
KEVIN DE LEON		78	195	0	0	273	8.89%
KEVIN MOTTUS		24	33	0	0	57	1.86%
DAVID MOORE		5	9	0	0	14	0.46%
MARIO NABLIBA		4	10	0	0	14	0.46%
Total Votes		1,012	2,059	0	0	3,071	
		Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot Precincts	Provisional	Total	
SEELAM PRABHAKAR REDDY	WRITE-IN	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%
MICHAEL V. ZIESING	WRITE-IN	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%
URSULA M. SCHILLING	WRITE-IN	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%

U.S. REPRESENTATIVE (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 12 of 12 (100.00%)

		Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot	Provisional	Total	
Times Cast		1,102	2,207	0	0	3,309 / 6,169	53.64%
Candidate	Party	Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot Precincts	Provisional	Total	
PAUL COOK	REP	351	600	0	0	951	30.11%
RITA RAMIREZ	DEM	96	184	0	0	280	8.87%
RONALD J. O'DONNELL	DEM	17	43	0	0	60	1.90%
MARJORIE "MARGE" DOYLE		455	1,012	0	0	1,467	46.45%
TIM DONNELLY	REP	124	276	0	0	400	12.67%
Total Votes		1,043	2,115	0	0	3,158	
		Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot Precincts	Provisional	Total	
JOSEPH NAPOLITANO		0	0	0	0	0	0.00%

STATE SENATE (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 12 of 12 (100.00%)

		Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot	Provisional	Total	
Times Cast		1,102	2,207	0	0	3,309 / 6,169	53.64%
Candidate	Party	Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot Precincts	Provisional	Total	
ANDREAS BORGEAS	REP	418	803	0	0	1,221	43.95%
MARK BELDEN		38	69	0	0	107	3.85%
TOM PRATT	DEM	231	546	0	0	777	27.97%
PAULINA MIRANDA	DEM	230	443	0	0	673	24.23%
Total Votes		917	1,861	0	0	2,778	
		Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot Precincts	Provisional	Total	

MEMBER STATE ASSEMBLY (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 12 of 12 (100.00%)

		Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot	Provisional	Total	
Times Cast		1,100	2,234	0	0	3,334 / 6,169	54.04%
Candidate	Party	Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot Precincts	Provisional	Total	
FRANK BIGELOW	REP	510	971	0	0	1,481	46.85%
CARLA J. NEAL	DEM	529	1,151	0	0	1,680	53.15%
Total Votes		1,039	2,122	0	0	3,161	
		Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot Precincts	Provisional	Total	

JUDGE OF SUPERIOR COURT (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 12 of 12 (100.00%)

	Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot	Provisional	Total	
Times Cast	1,100	2,234	0	0	3,334 / 6,169 54.04%	
Candidate	Party	Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot Precincts	Provisional	Total
GERALD F. MOHUN, JR.		807	1,643	0	0	2,450 99.88%
Total Votes		808	1,645	0	0	2,453
		Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot Precincts	Provisional	Total

STATE SUPERINTENDENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 12 of 12 (100.00%)

	Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot	Provisional	Total	
Times Cast	1,100	2,234	0	0	3,334 / 6,169 54.04%	
Candidate	Party	Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot Precincts	Provisional	Total
LILY (ESPINOZA) PLOSKI		229	356	0	0	585 22.05%
STEVEN IRELAND		131	245	0	0	376 14.17%
TONY K. THURMOND		249	682	0	0	931 35.09%
MARSHALL TUCK		259	501	0	0	760 28.65%
Total Votes		868	1,785	0	0	2,653
		Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot Precincts	Provisional	Total
DOUGLAS I. VIGIL	WRITE-IN	0	0	0	0	0 0.00%
THOMAS L. WILLIAMS	WRITE-IN	0	0	0	0	0 0.00%

SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOL (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 12 of 12 (100.00%)

	Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot	Provisional	Total	
Times Cast	1,100	2,234	0	0	3,334 / 6,169 54.04%	
Candidate	Party	Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot Precincts	Provisional	Total
STACEY ADLER		506	1,026	0	0	1,532 50.80%
JENNIFER HUH		512	970	0	0	1,482 49.14%
Total Votes		1,019	1,997	0	0	3,016
		Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot Precincts	Provisional	Total

SUPERVISOR DISTRICT 1 (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 1 of 1 (100.00%)

		Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot	Provisional	Total	
Times Cast		159	213	0	0	372 / 795	46.79%
Candidate	Party	Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot Precincts	Provisional	Total	
GERRY KOSEN		29	21	0	0	50	15.02%
JESSE REA		27	28	0	0	55	16.52%
JENNIFER HALFERTY		94	134	0	0	228	68.47%
Total Votes		150	183	0	0	333	
		Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot Precincts	Provisional	Total	

SUPERVISOR DISTRICT 5 (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 2 of 2 (100.00%)

		Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot	Provisional	Total	
Times Cast		196	409	0	0	605 / 1,092	55.40%
Candidate	Party	Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot Precincts	Provisional	Total	
ERIC KAUFMAN		47	117	0	0	164	28.18%
STACY CORLESS		140	278	0	0	418	71.82%
Total Votes		187	395	0	0	582	
		Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot Precincts	Provisional	Total	

ASSESSOR (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 12 of 12 (100.00%)

		Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot	Provisional	Total	
Times Cast		1,100	2,234	0	0	3,334 / 6,169	54.04%
Candidate	Party	Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot Precincts	Provisional	Total	
BARRY BECK		782	1,583	0	0	2,365	99.66%
Total Votes		785	1,588	0	0	2,373	
		Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot Precincts	Provisional	Total	

DISTRICT ATTORNEY (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 12 of 12 (100.00%)

	Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot	Provisional	Total	
Times Cast	1,100	2,234	0	0	3,334 / 6,169	54.04%
Candidate	Party	Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot Precincts	Provisional	Total
TIM KENDALL		812	1,620	0	0	2,432 99.96%
Total Votes		812	1,621	0	0	2,433
	Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot Precincts	Provisional	Total	

SHERIFF-CORONER (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 12 of 12 (100.00%)

	Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot	Provisional	Total	
Times Cast	1,100	2,234	0	0	3,334 / 6,169	54.04%
Candidate	Party	Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot Precincts	Provisional	Total
INGRID BRAUN		847	1,760	0	0	2,607 99.92%
Total Votes		847	1,762	0	0	2,609
	Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot Precincts	Provisional	Total	

MEMBER TOWN COUNCIL (Vote for 3)

Precincts Reported: 5 of 5 (100.00%)

	Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot	Provisional	Total	
Times Cast	572	1,009	0	0	1,581 / 3,100	51.00%
Candidate	Party	Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot Precincts	Provisional	Total
JENNIFER BURROWS		186	359	0	0	545 14.48%
JOHN WENTWORTH		284	479	0	0	763 20.28%
LYNDA SALCIDO		370	683	0	0	1,053 27.98%
KIRK STAPP		222	417	0	0	639 16.98%
BRENT TRUAX		169	247	0	0	416 11.06%
LESLEY-ANNE HOXIE		123	223	0	0	346 9.19%
Total Votes		1,355	2,408	0	0	3,763
	Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot Precincts	Provisional	Total	

PROPOSITION 68 (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 12 of 12 (100.00%)

	Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot	Provisional	Total	
Times Cast	1,100	2,234	0	0	3,334 / 6,169	54.04%
Candidate	Party	Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot Precincts	Provisional	Total
YES		615	1,256	0	0	1,871 60.35%
NO		404	825	0	0	1,229 39.65%
Total Votes		1,019	2,081	0	0	3,100
	Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot Precincts	Provisional	Total	

PROPOSITION 69 (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 12 of 12 (100.00%)

	Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot	Provisional	Total	
Times Cast	1,100	2,234	0	0	3,334 / 6,169	54.04%
Candidate	Party	Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot Precincts	Provisional	Total
YES		753	1,688	0	0	2,441 80.85%
NO		231	347	0	0	578 19.15%
Total Votes		984	2,035	0	0	3,019
	Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot Precincts	Provisional	Total	

PROPOSITION 70 (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 12 of 12 (100.00%)

	Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot	Provisional	Total	
Times Cast	1,100	2,234	0	0	3,334 / 6,169	54.04%
Candidate	Party	Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot Precincts	Provisional	Total
YES		328	686	0	0	1,014 35.43%
NO		592	1,256	0	0	1,848 64.57%
Total Votes		920	1,942	0	0	2,862
	Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot Precincts	Provisional	Total	

PROPOSITION 71 (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 12 of 12 (100.00%)

	Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot	Provisional	Total	
Times Cast	1,100	2,234	0	0	3,334 / 6,169	54.04%
Candidate	Party	Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot Precincts	Provisional	Total
YES		663	1,568	0	0	2,231 77.38%
NO		260	392	0	0	652 22.62%
Total Votes		923	1,960	0	0	2,883
	Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot Precincts	Provisional	Total	

PROPOSITION 72 (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 12 of 12 (100.00%)

	Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot	Provisional	Total	
Times Cast	1,100	2,234	0	0	3,334 / 6,169	54.04%
Candidate	Party	Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot Precincts	Provisional	Total
YES		825	1,790	0	0	2,615 86.96%
NO		148	244	0	0	392 13.04%
Total Votes		973	2,034	0	0	3,007
	Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot Precincts	Provisional	Total	

MEASURE A (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 5 of 5 (100.00%)

	Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot	Provisional	Total	
Times Cast	572	1,009	0	0	1,581 / 3,100	51.00%
Candidate	Party	Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot Precincts	Provisional	Total
Yes		329	558	0	0	887 60.26%
No		201	384	0	0	585 39.74%
Total Votes		530	942	0	0	1,472
	Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot Precincts	Provisional	Total	

MEASURE B (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 6 of 6 (100.00%)

	Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot	Provisional	Total	
Times Cast	761	1,250	0	0	2,011 / 3,860	52.10%
Candidate	Party	Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot Precincts	Provisional	Total
Bond Yes		492	681	0	0	1,173 62.13%
Bond No		228	487	0	0	715 37.87%
Total Votes		720	1,168	0	0	1,888
	Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot Precincts	Provisional	Total	

MEASURE C (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 5 of 5 (100.00%)

	Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot	Provisional	Total	
Times Cast	572	1,009	0	0	1,581 / 3,100	51.00%
Candidate	Party	Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot Precincts	Provisional	Total
YES		434	780	0	0	1,214 82.03%
NO		94	172	0	0	266 17.97%
Total Votes		528	952	0	0	1,480
	Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot Precincts	Provisional	Total	

MEASURE D (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 12 of 12 (100.00%)

	Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot	Provisional	Total	
Times Cast	1,100	2,234	0	0	3,334 / 6,169	54.04%
Candidate	Party	Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot Precincts	Provisional	Total
YES		800	1,657	0	0	2,457 79.59%
NO		220	410	0	0	630 20.41%
Total Votes		1,020	2,067	0	0	3,087
	Election Day	Vote by Mail	Mailed Ballot Precincts	Provisional	Total	



CLERK-RECORDER-REGISTRAR COUNTY OF MONO

P.O. BOX 237, BRIDGEPORT, CALIFORNIA 93517
(760) 932-5533/5537 • FAX (760) 932-5531

ELECTION OBSERVER PANEL MEMBER QUESTIONNAIRE

XX I went to a polling place

What if anything did you learn from being a participant on this panel?

I have been a vote-by-mail voter for years so have not been in a polling place for a very long time. I learned that the process is well organized and supportive of voters exercising their right to vote. I also learned that things have improved significantly since the last time I voted at a polling place.

Did you feel confident in our administration at the Counting Center and/or would you change anything?

Katherine Anderson and Dinah Frincke did an outstanding job of keeping things running smoothly throughout the day. They were hands-on and present to help poll workers problem-solve, and available for questions and support. The poll workers were well-trained. I was impressed with how friendly, patient and helpful they were, especially at the Provisional table, where voters had unique situations.

Do you think the polling place(s) you visited had adequate instructions for understanding how to obtain your ballot, vote your ballot, and submit your ballot for processing? Please explain.

Yes. Upon entering the room, voters encountered helpful workers who greeted them and helped them find their way to their precincts. At the precinct tables, workers did an outstanding job of explaining the process to each voter, pointing their way to the voting booth and then to the scanning machines. There was little to no confusion that I could see.

Did you feel confident that your right to vote was being encouraged and protected at the polling place? Please explain.

Yes, absolutely. I observed nothing that would indicate an infringement on a voter's rights. All voters were treated with respect. I witnessed a poll worker physically assist a disabled voter in getting to the correct precinct table and overheard a voter being greeted in Spanish by a poll worker.

Did you understand the counting process and did you feel that the ballots were being counted with integrity in a timely manner? Please explain.

As a poll observer, I did not witness the counting process.

Was there anything that bothered you about this process either at the polls or at the counting center? Please explain.

Nothing concerned me in the least about the process at the polls. It made me incredibly proud to be an American and to have folks like Katherine and Dinah protecting this precious right.

Would you like to meet in order to discuss the election process? Not necessary.

Your Name Lou Stewart, Mono County Democratic Central Committee

THANK YOU!

June 12, 2018
Regular Meeting
Item # 7a

BOS / Public Health

Handouts





Chronology of Flavors/Menthol Community Education and Policy Development		
Mono County Public Health Department April 2018		
Date	Media	Content
Labor Day 2015	Tri County Fair Window & radio ad	Attraction of children to flavored tobacco
Labor Day 2016	Tri County Fair Window & radio ad	Attraction of children to flavored tobacco
March 7-10, 2017	Multi-media flavors campaign in coordination with CA Public Health Dept. Healthy Stores, Healthy Communities Campaign	Included article in Inyo Register, El Sol, Sierra Reader, Mammoth Times, The Sheet, Toiyabe Wellness Letter. Radio included interview segments on KMMT/KHRV, KIBS/KBOV, KSRW.
August, 2017	Sierra Scoop full page	10 Greatest Public Health Accomplishments plus results of 70 business outdoor air survey of September 2015
August 10-13, 2017	Conducted survey of 13 Tobacco Retailers unincorporated Mono	Purpose – to understand the availability of and market for flavored tobacco products. Conducted in face-to-face interviews
September 9, 2017	Board of Supervisors presentation	Introduction to tobacco use prevention policy – smoke free multiunit housing, smoke free outdoor air, and flavors/menthol/vaping – instructed to conduct community education and further surveys
October 10, 2017	Bridgeport RPAC	Presentation - smoke free multiunit housing, smoke free outdoor air, and flavors/menthol/vaping. 8 people present
October 18, 2017	Eastern Sierra School Board meeting	Presentation - smoke free multiunit housing, smoke free outdoor air, and flavors/menthol/vaping. 20 people present
November 2017	Board Update Newsletter	Detailed results of August retailer survey; all county employees ~200.
December 14, 2017	Outreach to tobacco retailers unincorporated Mono	Delivery of survey results, research rationale and discussion with owner/manager/employee present
December 2017	Board Update email to all county employees	Results of retailer survey
January 3, 2018	June Lake Community Action Committee	Discussion of smoke free multiunit housing, smoke free outdoor air, and flavors/menthol/vaping. 13 people present
January 26, 2018	Direct mailing to all 764 Mammoth Unified families;	Created by Superintendent Klein, educated families how to identify vaping materials and

	111 students live in the unincorporated area.	flavors use by students. One hundred and eleven Mammoth Unified students reside in unincorporated Mono.
February 13, 2018	Board of Supervisors presentation	Update on tobacco use prevention policy – smoke free multiunit housing, smoke free outdoor air, and flavors/menthol/vaping regarding community education and further surveys – instructed to continue evaluation of smoke free multiunit housing opinions.
February/March 2018	Legal Notice for the March 13, 2018 Public Hearing regarding proposed Amendments to Mono County Code 7.92 Smoking and Tobacco appears in The Sheet (February 26), Inyo Register (on March 1st & 8 th), Mammoth Times (on March 3 rd & 10 th)	“ ... March 13, 2018 , the Board of Supervisors will, at 10:00 am or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard, consider proposed amendments to Mono County Code Chapter 7.92 pertaining to smoking and tobacco that will create smoke-free public areas, smoke-free multi-unit housing for units with smoke-free provisions in their leases or HOA terms, and prohibit the sale of flavored tobacco and cannabis in the unincorporated area of the County, at which time oral and written presentation may be made...”
March 13, 2018	Board of Supervisors presentation	Public Hearing took place. No community comment was forthcoming. Board decision was made to consider two options at April 10 th meeting: a) a policy to include smoke free multiunit housing, smoke-free outdoor air, and flavors/menthol/vaping or b) smoke-free outdoor air, and flavors/menthol/vaping.
April 5, 2018	Antelope Valley RPAC	Full presentation of ordinance and rationale to 19 persons. Supervisor Peters present.
April 10, 2018	Board of Supervisors presentation	The Board voted to accept b) smoke free outdoor air, and flavors/menthol/vaping policy and to table the smoke-free multiunit housing option.
April 17, 2018	Board of Supervisors presentation	The Board passed the second reading of the smoke free outdoor air, and flavors/menthol/vaping policy, proposed amending to Mono County Code Chapter 7.92
April 24- 25, 2018	Post – policy education	Public Health Department staff conducted face to face education with unincorporated Mono tobacco retailers.
April 30, 2018	Post – policy education	Public Health Department staff conducted face to face education with unincorporated Mono tobacco retailers. With local survey results and further rationale.

June 12, 2018
Regular Meeting
Item # 7d

County Counsel

Presentation



MONO COUNTY COUNSEL

Department Overview



ROLE AND RESPONSIBILITIES

The County Counsel's office represents the County in all of its legal affairs. Because the County is an entity and not a person, this means we assist in carrying out the policy direction and goals of the Board of Supervisors and the County's elected and appointed department heads and staff by ensuring compliance with legal requirements, preparing and reviewing documents necessary to complete transactions, representing the County and various departments in court and administrative proceedings, and providing advice and counsel.

OUR STAFF

Stacey Simon,
County Counsel

Christy Milovich,
Assistant County
Counsel

Anne Larsen,
Deputy County
Counsel

Jason Canger,
Deputy County
Counsel

Jenny Lucas,
Administrative
Services Specialist



FISCAL YEAR 2017-18 BY THE NUMBERS

- 627 completed projects
- 173 projects in process
- Legal services to 20 departments, the Board of Supervisors, County commissions and committees, the Tri-Valley Groundwater Management District and certain independent special districts.

PRIMARY RESPONSIBILITIES AND TASKS

Draft (or review) all official documents of the County, including contracts, leases, ordinances, resolutions, agenda materials, legal pleadings, administrative citations, etc.

- **Provide legal counsel on matters ranging from HIPAA confidentiality to property taxation, land use and planning, open meeting laws, conflicts of interest, water law, public contracting, code enforcement, labor and employment, child protection, elder abuse and more.**
- **Represent the County in court and legal proceedings.**
- **Oversee the work of outside counsel in specialized proceedings.**



PRIMARY RESPONSIBILITIES AND TASKS (CONT.)

- **Prepare and present trainings for staff, County officials, the public and special districts on topics such as the Brown Act, conflicts of interest, the Sustainable Groundwater Management Act, records retention and email management and others.**
- **Available on a day-to-day basis to respond to questions from staff and County officials, research time-sensitive issues, represent the County at public meetings, proceedings or other venues.**

A scenic landscape featuring a river flowing through a valley. The foreground is dominated by vibrant yellow autumn foliage on the left and right sides. In the middle ground, a river with white water rapids flows over rocks. The background shows a range of mountains under a blue sky with scattered white clouds. The overall scene is bright and colorful, capturing the essence of a mountain wilderness in fall.

SPECIFIC EXAMPLES OF OUR WORK

PUBLIC WORKS

- Research and advise regarding bidding and contracting law, CEQA, prevailing wages, grant requirements, etc.
- Draft and review contracts, bonds, bid documents, requests for proposals, requests for qualifications, etc.
- Attend meetings and provide guidance regarding ADA compliance, County projects and capital improvements
- Jail replacement, South County Facility, Guss Hess Park Solar Pavilion, Crowley Skate Park, ADA improvements



**SENATE BILL 844, ADULT LOCAL
CRIMINAL JUSTICE FACILITIES
CONSTRUCTION FINANCING PROGRAM
PROPOSAL FORM**

This document is not to be reformatted.

SECTION 1: PROJECT INFORMATION

A. APPLICANT INFORMATION AND PROPOSAL TYPE				
COUNTY NAME Mono County		STATE FINANCING REQUESTED \$ 25,000,000		
SMALL COUNTY (Below 200,000 GENERAL COUNTY POPULATION) <input type="checkbox"/>	MEDIUM COUNTY (200,000 - 700,000 GENERAL COUNTY POPULATION) <input type="checkbox"/>	LARGE COUNTY (700,001 + GENERAL COUNTY POPULATION) <input type="checkbox"/>		
TYPE OF PROPOSAL – INDIVIDUAL COUNTY FACILITY, REGIONAL FACILITY PLEASE CHECK ONE (ONLY):				
INDIVIDUAL COUNTY FACILITY <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		REGIONAL FACILITY <input type="checkbox"/>		
B: BRIEF PROJECT DESCRIPTION				
FACILITY NAME Mono County Jail				
PROJECT DESCRIPTION Replacement jail facility that will provide adequate housing and appropriate program and treatment space.				
STREET ADDRESS 221 Twin Lakes Road				
CITY Bridgeport		STATE CA	ZIP CODE 93517	
C. SCOPE OF WORK – INDICATE FACILITY TYPE AND CHECK ALL BOXES THAT APPLY.				
FACILITY TYPE (II, III or IV) II	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NEW STAND-ALONE FACILITY	<input type="checkbox"/> RENOVATION/REMODELING	<input type="checkbox"/> CONSTRUCTING BEDS OR OTHER SPACE AT EXISTING FACILITY	
D. BEDS CONSTRUCTED – Provide the number of BSCC-rated beds and non-rated special use beds that will be subject to construction as a result of the project, whether remodel/renovation or new construction.				
	A. MINIMUM SECURITY BEDS	B. MEDIUM SECURITY BEDS	C. MAXIMUM SECURITY BEDS	D. SPECIAL USE BEDS
Number of beds constructed, remodeled	4	20	22	2

**UPPER SUMMERS MEADOW ROAD
AND
JAIL REPLACEMENT**





CHILD WELFARE SERVICES

- **Review and draft court documents such as petitions, reports and court orders.**
- **Appear in court on behalf of child welfare services (CWS).**
- **Represent CWS in Appellate Court.**

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT - PLANNING

- **Research and advise regarding CEQA, land use and planning laws, due process, notice requirements.**
- **Attend all Planning Commission meetings and provide counsel and advice.**
- **Attend, as needed, community planning meetings such as RPACs and CAC.**
- **Cannabis and short-term rental regulations, Housing Mitigation Ordinance.**

CANNABIS AND SHORT-TERM RENTAL REGULATIONS

Chapter 5.60 CANNABIS OPERATIONS

Sections:

- 5.60.010 – Purpose
- 5.60.020 – PLACEHOLDER
- 5.60.030 – Definitions
- 5.60.040 – Permits Required
- 5.60.050 – Exemptions
- 5.60.060 – Limitation on Number of Cultivation Permits Available & Submission Procedure
- 5.60.070 – Application Process
- 5.60.080 – Review of Applications
- 5.60.090 – Renewal and Modification Process
- 5.60.100 – Fees
- 5.60.110 – Cannabis Operation Permit Nontransferable
- 5.60.120 – Commercial Cannabis Operating Requirements – All Cannabis Businesses
- 5.60.130 – Additional Regulations for Cannabis Cultivation
- 5.60.140 – Additional Regulations for Cannabis Retail
- 5.60.150 – Additional Regulations for Cannabis Manufacturing
- 5.60.160 – Additional Regulations for Cannabis Testing
- 5.60.170 – Additional Regulations for Cannabis Distribution
- 5.60.180 – Advertising, Packaging and Labeling Requirements
- 5.60.190 – Suspension or Revocation of Cannabis Operation Permit
- 5.60.200 – Procedure for Suspension or Revocation
- 5.60.210 – Service Requirements
- 5.60.220 – Enforcement and Penalties
- 5.60.230 – Severability

5.60.010 Purpose.

This Chapter provides regulations for the local permitting of commercial cannabis activities under specified conditions in the unincorporated areas of the County when authorized by a land use permit issued in accordance with the Mono County General Plan; its purpose is to protect the public health, safety, and welfare; enact effective regulatory and enforcement controls in compliance with state law and any applicable federal enforcement guidelines; and minimize potential for negative impacts on people, communities, and the environment in the unincorporated area while accommodating the health needs of medical cannabis patients and establishing an avenue through which adult-use businesses may operate consistent with state law.

Chapter 5.65

SHORT-TERM RENTALS IN RESIDENTIAL AREAS

Sections:

- 5.65.010 – Purpose
- 5.65.020 – Applicability
- 5.65.030 – Definitions
- 5.65.040 – Permits Required
- 5.65.050 – Limitations on Number of Permits
- 5.65.060 – Short-Term Rental Activity Permit Nontransferable
- 5.65.070 – Short-Term Rental Activity Permit Application Process
- 5.65.080 – Review of Applications and Noticed Public Hearing
- 5.65.090 – Renewal/modification process
- 5.65.100 – Fees
- 5.65.110 – Short-Term Rental Standards and Requirements
- 5.65.120 – Rental Agreement and Owner Responsibility
- 5.65.130 – Suspension or revocation of Short-Term Rental Activity Permit
- 5.65.140 – Procedure for Suspension or Revocation
- 5.65.150 – Service Requirements
- 5.65.160 – Enforcement
- 5.65.170 – Existing and Otherwise Permitted Rentals
- 5.65.180 – Severability

5.65.010 Purpose.

This Chapter provides regulations for the local permitting of short-term rentals under specified conditions within the following residential land use designations when authorized by a land use permit issued pursuant to Chapter 25 of the Mono County General Plan Land Use Element: single-family residential (SFR), estate residential (ER), rural residential (RR), multi-family residential low (MFR-L), and rural mobile home (RMH).

5.65.020 Applicability.

This Chapter applies to any person renting, intending to rent or advertising for rent, on a short-term basis, a residential structure, that is not a condominium, within the unincorporated area of the County and within the following residential land use designations: SFR, ER, RR, MFR-L or RMH.

5.65.030 Definitions.

The definitions in the Mono County General Plan, including but not limited to, those contained in Chapter 2, shall apply to this Chapter, in addition to the following definitions:

1. "Application" means the form(s) provided by Mono County in accordance with this

CODE ENFORCEMENT

- **Research and advise regarding notice, due process, interpretation and application of County Code, General Plan and other regulations.**
- **Review Notices of Violation and Administrative Citations.**
- **Staff administrative appeal hearings (Code Compliance counsel) and advise administrative hearing officers (hearing officer counsel).**
- **Negotiate and draft compliance agreements.**
- **Three cases involving illegal short-term rentals in June Lake, one case of illegal cannabis cultivation in Benton, and various other code violations, expedited enforcement procedures ordinance for cannabis violations.**

CANNABIS
ENFORCEMENT



FILED

JUN 15 2017

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF MONO
BY: *[Signature]*

ANNE M. LARSEN (SBN 150521)
Deputy County Counsel, County of Mono
452 Old Mammoth Road, Third Floor
P.O. Box 2415
Mammoth Lakes, CA 93546
Telephone: (760) 924-1700
Facsimile: (760) 924-1701
Email: alarsen@mono.ca.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff COUNTY OF MONO,
a political subdivision of the State of California

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF MONO**

County of Mono,

Plaintiff,

v.

Gregory Oliver, Jr., Norris Halak and DOES
1-10,

Defendants.

Case No. CV170047
**COMPLAINT FOR:
TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER,
PRELIMINARY AND PERMANENT
INJUNCTION, CIVIL PENALTIES,
COSTS OF ABATEMENT AND
ATTORNEYS' FEES FOR ABATEMENT
OF PUBLIC NUISANCE, NUISANCE
PER SE AND VIOLATION OF MONO
COUNTY CODE, GENERAL PLAN, AND
BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE
§17200**

[B. & P. Code §17200; Civ. Code §§3479,
3480, 3494; Code Civ. Proc. §§526, 527,
731; Gov. Code §25845; C.R.C. 3.1150;
Mono County Code §§1.12.030, 1.12.060,
7.20.010, 7.20.020, 7.20.090, 7.20.095,
7.20.140, 7.20.190 and 13.80.040; Mono
County General Plan 04.020]

Deemed Verified

(Code Civ. Proc. §446)

CODE ENFORCEMENT- OTHER

ANNE M. LARSEN (SBN 150521)
Deputy County Counsel, County of Mono
452 Old Mammoth Road, Third Floor
P.O. Box 2415
Mammoth Lakes, CA 93546
Telephone: (760) 924-1700
Facsimile: (760) 924-1701
Email: alarsen@mono.ca.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff COUNTY OF MONO,
a political subdivision of the State of California

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF MONO**

County of Mono,

Plaintiff,

v.

Emilio Gonzalez and Josefina Gonzalez
and DOES 1-10,

Defendants.

Case No. CV170027

**COMPLAINT FOR PRELIMINARY
AND PERMANENT INJUNCTION,
CIVIL PENALTIES, COSTS AND
ATTORNEYS' FEES FOR
ABATEMENT OF NUISANCE**

**Deemed Verified
(Code Civ. Proc. 446)**

Plaintiff COUNTY OF MONO ("plaintiff") alleges as follows:

1. Plaintiff is political subdivision of the state of California.
2. Defendant Emilio Gonzalez is an individual residing in Mono County,

APR 14 2017

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF MONO
BY: KAY RICHMOND

SOLID WASTE

- **Advise regarding solid waste program compliance with Integrated Waste Management Act and other requirements.**
- **Draft (or review) procurement documents, contracts for services, ordinances and resolutions.**
- **Solid waste franchise ordinance and agreements, transfer station agreement, public facilities trash agreement, metal and used tire recycling agreements and MCWD sludge disposal agreement.**

HUMAN RESOURCES

- **Participate in employee labor negotiations and draft proposed MOU language.**
- **Advise regarding employment and labor laws.**
- **Assist in responding to and resolving employee complaints or concerns.**

DEPUTY SHERIFFS' AND PUBLIC SAFETY OFFICERS' ASSOCIATION MOUs

MEMORANDUM OF
UNDERSTANDING

BETWEEN

COUNTY OF MONO
AND
MONO COUNTY DEPUTY SHERIFFS' ASSOCIATION



JANUARY 1, 2017 THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 2021

MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING
BETWEEN

COUNTY OF MONO

AND


MONO COUNTY PUBLIC SAFETY OFFICERS' ASSOCIATION



January 1, 2018 through December 31, 2022

ELECTIONS

- **Advise regarding election law.**
- **Review election materials.**
- **Draft impartial analyses of ballot measures (four this June 5th election).**
- **Assist in creating user-friendly materials for candidates and voters.**



IMPARTIAL ANALYSES

IMPARTIAL ANALYSIS BY COUNTY COUNSEL PROPOSITION A MAMMOTH LAKES FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT

California Government Code section 53978 authorizes any local agency providing fire protection services to propose, by ordinance, a special tax for fire protection services provided by the local agency. Pursuant to this authority, the governing body of the Mammoth Lakes Fire Protection District proposes, through "Proposition A," to impose a special tax on real property located within the Mammoth Lakes Fire Protection District. The specific details of the proposed tax are set forth in Ordinance 2018-01 of the District Board of Commissioners. The Ordinance (and the tax it imposes) will take effect only if it is approved by two-thirds of the District voters voting on the matter.

Proposition A would impose a flat parcel tax of \$79.00 on all taxable parcels located within the Mammoth Lakes Fire Protection District.

The special tax would be levied once during the 2018-19 fiscal year at flat rates based on the use of each taxable parcel of real-property, and then levied once during each fiscal year thereafter with percentage adjustments (either increasing or decreasing the amount of the tax) based on the percentage change (if any) during the preceding 12-month period in the Consumer Price Index of the Bureau of Labor Statistics, United States Department of Labor.

A "Yes" vote on Proposition A approves the proposed special tax on all taxable parcels within the Mammoth Lakes Fire Protection District for fire protection services.

A "No" vote on Proposition A disapproves the proposed special tax on all taxable parcels within the Mammoth Lakes Fire Protection District for fire protection services.

The above statement is an impartial analysis of Proposition A. If you desire a copy of Ordinance 2018-01, please call the district elections official's office at (760) 932-5537 and a copy will be mailed to you at no cost.

IMPARTIAL ANALYSIS BY COUNTY COUNSEL BOND MEASURE B MAMMOTH UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

Measure B is proposed by the Board of Education ("Board") of the Mammoth Unified School District ("District"). Pursuant to the California Constitution and Education Code section 15247, a school district may issue general obligation bonds if approved by 55% (or more) of the voters of the District. This measure would authorize the District to issue and sell bonds up to \$63,100,000 in aggregate principal amount to provide financing for specific school facilities projects listed on the BOND PROJECT LIST in the measure. It would also qualify the District to receive State matching funds, subject to the accountability safeguards specified in the measure. The Board has certified that it has evaluated the safety, class size reduction and information technology needs of the District in developing the Bond Project List.

The proceeds from these bonds may be used only for the construction, reconstruction, rehabilitation, or replacement of school facilities, including the furnishing and equipping of school facilities, or the acquisition or lease of real property for school facilities, and not for any other purpose, including teacher and employee salaries and other school operating expenses. Approval of the bond measure does not guarantee that bonds will provide sufficient funds to allow completion of all listed projects and inclusion of a project on the Bond Project List is not a guarantee that the project will be completed (regardless of whether bond funds are available).

By law the Board must establish an independent citizens' oversight committee to ensure that bond proceeds are properly expended for items in the Bond Project List. In addition, the Board must conduct annual, independent financial audits of the bond proceeds until all proceeds have been spent for the projects listed on the Bond Project List.

If the bonds are approved, the District expects to issue the bonds in multiple series over time. Principal and interest on the bonds will be payable from the proceeds of tax levies on taxable real property in the District. These taxes would be in addition to the normal property taxes levied on taxpayers in the District. The amount of the increased taxes each year will depend upon the amount needed to pay the principal and interest on the bonds.

According to the Tax Rate Statement, the District estimates that the average annual tax rate that would be levied to fund this bond issue over the duration of the bond debt service is 5.434 cents


GLEASON V. BOWEN, ET AL.

Case filed in 2014 against Registrars of Voters in 57 counties, including Mono.

Lawsuit alleges that the Registrars improperly disqualified signatures on petitions to qualify a voter initiative that would have repealed AB 1266 – state law allowing schoolchildren to use restrooms that correspond to their gender identity.

Defending this litigation has involved significant County Counsel time over the years: responding to discovery, drafting pleadings, coordinating with other County defendants, etc.

Mono worked with Plaintiffs to achieve dismissal in February. One other county has since been dismissed. 55 counties remain in the litigation.

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY: STATE UN NO. 193726 NAME: John C. Eastnal FIRM NAME: Center for Consü-ümat Jurisprudence STREET ADDRESS: clo Chapman univ. Fowler Sch. oft.aw, One Unwersity Dr. CITY: Orange STATE- CA CODE 92866 TELEPHONE NO. NO.: (877) 855-3330 FANO.: (714) 844-4817 E-MAIL ADDRESS: jeastman@chapman.edu ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Gina Gleason, Petitioner	FOR COURT USE ONLY 
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF Sacramento STREET ADDRESS: an-ento MAILING ADDRESS: 720 9t1 CITY AND ZIP CODE: Sacramento, CA 95814 WE. Civil	
Plaintiff/Petitioner: Gina Gleason Defendant/Respondent: Alex Padilla, et at.	
REQUEST FOR DISMISSAL	
CASE 34-.2014.om1786	
A conformed copy will not be returned by clerk unless a of return is provided with the document	
This form may not be used for dismissal of a derivative action or a class action or of any party or cause of action in an action. (Cal. Rule of Court, rules 3.760 and 3.m.)	

1. TO THE CLERK: Please dismiss this action as follows:

a. (1) With prejudice Without prejudice (2) prejudice Count 1 with
 prejudice; Count 2 Petition without prejudice

b. (1) Complaint (2) Cross-complaint


(3) Cross-complaint by (name): on (date): (4) Cross-complaint by (name): on (date):

(5) Entire action of all parties and all causes of action

(6) Other (specify): - Respondent Shannon Kendall, Clerk Recorder Registrar of Voters, Mono County

2. (Complete in all cases except family law cases.)
 The court did did not waive court fees and costs for a party in this case. (This information may be obtained from the clerk. If court fees and costs were waived, the declaration on the back of this form must be completed).

Date: February 2, 2018
 John C. Eastnal
 (TYPE OR PRINT NAME OF ATTORNEY PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY)


 (SIGNATURE)

Attorney or party without attorney for:
 Plaintiff/Petitioner Defendant/Respondent
 Cross Complainant

3. TO THE CLERK: Consent to the above dismissal is hereby given.**

ASSESSOR AND ASSESSMENT APPEALS BOARD (AAB)

- **Provide legal advice on property tax assessment and general governmental processes.**
- **Advise as to individual assessment appeals (Assessor's Counsel).**
- **Attend hearings and meetings of the AAB and advise as to procedures and law. Draft orders and findings (AAB Counsel).**

INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

- **Review contracts and license agreements.**
- **Collaborate to respond to Public Records Requests.**
- **Train staff on legal/technical issues.**
- **Provide general legal advice.**
- **Drafted County's first comprehensive records retention policy, and Public Records Act policy for private devices and accounts and in process of providing countywide staff training on new policies.**



Mono County Public Records Act Policy for Private Devices and Accounts

Adopted December 12, 2017

1. Purpose and Applicability

1.1 Purpose. The County of Mono is committed to transparency and compliance with the California Public Records Act (CPRA). This policy is intended to address the County's public records that may exist outside of the County's electronic and communications systems, i.e., residing on private devices or accounts.

1.2 Applicability. This policy applies to 'Personally Owned Devices' (PODs) and associated Authorized Users -- County employees, elected and appointed officials, officers and others who are in possession of "public records" residing in their private electronic devices or private electronic accounts or which are otherwise stored outside of the County's electronic and communications systems.

1.3 Public Records. "Public records" have been defined as any writing that relates in some substantive way to the conduct of the public business, regardless of physical form or characteristics. Such records may include, but are not limited to, email, word documents, photographs, text messages, voicemail, or other similar records that are stored outside of the County's electronic and communications systems. The 2017 California Supreme Court case, *City of San Jose v. Superior Court* ((2017) 2 Cal.5th 608) held that this definition includes records that are stored on private accounts outside of the County's electronic and communications systems.

RECORDS RETENTION AND PUBLIC RECORDS ACT POLICIES



Mono County Record Retention and Destruction Policy

Adopted December 12, 2017

ARTICLE I CONDITIONS FOR DESTRUCTION APPLICABLE TO ALL RECORDS

Section 1.0.1. The County officer authorizing destruction or disposition must determine that the record has no further administrative value.

Section 1.0.2. All records dated 1910 and earlier and all other records of apparent historical significance shall be offered to local historical societies in Mono County and then to the State of California Historical Preservation Commission or other state historical societies for preservation for historical purposes. If the offer is refused or not acted upon within thirty (30) days, the records may be destroyed pursuant to this resolution. Alternatively to destruction, the records may be given to any member of the public.

Section 1.0.3. Where federal or state funds have been furnished, authority to destroy a record must be secured from the appropriate federal or state agency if the record is required to be retained by the terms of the agreement or law by which the funds have been furnished.

Section 1.0.4. Reproduction means preserved in any form of communication or representation, including optical, electronic, magnetic, micrographic, or photographic media or other technology capable of accurately producing or reproducing the original record, in accordance with regulations adopted by the California Secretary of State for the preservation and reproduction of the medium.

PUBLIC RECORDS ACT POLICY FOR PRIVATE DEVICES AND ACCOUNTS





BEHAVIORAL HEALTH

- Provide legal advice on HIPAA and other confidentiality laws.
- Represent Director in her capacity as conservator under the Lanterman-Petris-Short Act.
- Assisted in moving forward with Permanent Supportive Housing project by securing qualified consultants.

MONO COUNTY TRI-
VALLEY
GROUNDWATER
MANAGEMENT
DISTRICT

- **Advise regarding implementation of the Sustainable Groundwater Management Act (SGMA).**
- **Draft correspondence, agenda items, agreements and other materials relevant to the operation of the District and the implementation of SGMA.**
- **Amendment of the Mono County Tri-Valley Groundwater Management District Act to address issues of concern to the District.**

SB 1084



CALIFORNIA LEGISLATURE— 2017–2018 REGULAR SESSION

Introduced by Senator Berryhill

February 12, 2018

04, and 802 of the Mono County Tri-Valley Groundwater Management District Act (Chapter 844 of the

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

r Management District.

*e Mono County Tri-Valley Groundwater Management District consisting of specified areas of eastern Mono C
: owners of real property within the district elected at large from the district, 3 of whom have on their prc*

*er of the board. The bill would add an additional elected member who is required to be a resident of the dist
: term of that elected member may be appointed by the board of directors, as specified.*

the board to establish zones of benefit within the district.

and would provide certain purposes for which the board is authorized to establish zones of benefit within the

PUBLIC HEALTH

- Advise regarding patient confidentiality, grants and contracts, public records, environmental enforcement, jail medical oversight, emergency response.
- Draft (or review) medical releases, contracts, leases, policies, ordinances and resolutions.
- Tobacco ordinance, LAMP, Region IV Mutual Aid Agreement.

EFFORTS TO PREVENT LISTING OF BI-STATE SAGE GROUSE

- Following years of effort by Mono County and other agencies to design, fund and implement a program to protect the sage grouse, USFWS determined that the bird no longer required listing.
- Shortly thereafter, litigation was filed challenging USFWS' determination.
- Mono County intervened in litigation to support the work of its agency partners to protect the sage grouse.

1 STACEY SIMON
Mono County Counsel
2 CHRISTIAN E. MILOVICH, State Bar No. 291337
Assistant County Counsel
3 cmilovich@mono.ca.gov
P.O. Box 2415
4 Mammoth Lakes, CA 93546
Tel: (760) 924-1706
5 Fax: (760) 924-1701

6 *Attorneys for Proposed Defendant-Intervenor County of Mono*

7
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
10 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

11 DESERT SURVIVORS; CENTER FOR
12 BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY; WILDEARTH
GUARDIANS; and WESTERN
13 WATERSHEDS PROJECT,

14 Plaintiffs,

15 vs.

16 UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE
INTERIOR; and UNITED STATES FISH AND
WILDLIFE SERVICE,

17 Defendants.

Case No. 3:16-cv-01165-JCS

**PROPOSED DEFENDANT-INTERVENOR
COUNTY OF MONO'S NOTICE OF
MOTION AND MOTION TO INTERVENE;
MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND
AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT THEREOF**

Date: January 13, 2017
Time: 9:30 a.m.
Place: Courtroom G, 15th Floor
Judge: Hon. Joseph C. Spero

18
19 **NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO INTERVENE**

20 **To All Parties and their Attorneys of Record:**

21 **Please take notice**, that on January 13, 2017, at 9:30 a.m., in Courtroom G, 15th Floor, 450
22 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, California 94102, or as soon thereafter as the matter may be
23 heard, the County of Mono will and hereby does move this Court to allow it to intervene in this action.

24 This motion is made pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 24, on the basis that the
25 Proposed Defendant-Intervenor has an interest in this action that will not be adequately represented by

TAX COLLECTOR

- **Enforcement and collection of transient occupancy tax.**
- **Advise regarding defaulted taxpayers in bankruptcy proceedings.**
- **Advise regarding tax sales and tax default issues.**
- **Assist with implementation of Treasury Loans.**


2018-19 STRATEGIC PRIORITIES

- **Develop cannabis regulations and effective enforcement tools. (1.D.)**
 - **COMPLETE**
- **Procurement and contract documents for road and infrastructure projects. (1.E.)**
 - **COMPLETE -- Fog Seal and Striping**
 - **COMPLETE -- Hess Park Solar Pavilion**
 - **COMPLETE -- Upper Summers Meadow Bridge**
 - **IN PROCESS -- South County Facility**
 - **IN PROCESS -- Jail Replacement Project**
- **Part of team to develop affordable and permanent supportive housing. (2.A.)**
 - **IN PROCESS**
- **Draft and/or review real estate and financing documents, procurement materials and contracts relevant to south county facility decision making.**
 - **COMPLETE**
- **Employee labor negotiations.**
 - **COMPLETE - Deputy Sheriffs' Association**
 - **COMPLETE - Public Safety Officers' Association**
 - **IN PROCES -- Paramedic Association**
 - **UPCOMING -- MCPE**

NEWLY-IDENTIFIED PRIORITIES

Avoidance of environmental, species, habitat, economic and cultural harms caused by LADWP's dewatering of historic ranch leases in southern Mono County.

Focus on internal functioning and efficiency of the office. Maintain employee morale, provide training and structure to maximize quality of work product while minimizing exhaustion and burnout.



DEWATERING OF LONG VALLEY AND LITTLE ROUND VALLEY



Vacant - District One Fred Stump - District Two Bob Gardner - District Three
John Peters - District Four Stacy Corless - District Five

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF MONO

P.O. BOX 715, BRIDGEPORT, CALIFORNIA 93517
(760) 932-5538 • FAX (760) 932-5531
Shannon Kendall, Clerk of the Board

April 19, 2018

Via email and U.S. Mail

Mayor Eric Garcetti
City of Los Angeles
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012

**RE: Los Angeles Department of Water and Power Plan to Eliminate Water for
Agricultural Operations in Mono County**

Honorable Mayor Garcetti:

The Mono County Board of Supervisors requests your help regarding a plan recently announced by the Los Angeles Department of Water and Power (LADWP) to dry up thousands of acres of agricultural lands in Mono County and thereby increase the risk of wildfires, destroy wetlands and riparian areas, devastate critical habitat for sensitive species such as the bi-state sage grouse, reverse more than 70 years of LADWP water management policy and practice in the region, and undermine the agricultural economies, heritage, and tradition of both Mono and Inyo Counties.

- 1. Mono County and its Ranching Community Need Your Help to Ensure that Sufficient Water is Provided to Continue Historic Ranching Operations by the Beginning of this Irrigation Season – May 1.**



EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Governor
JOHN LAIRD, Secretary for Natural Resources

May 17, 2018

Honorable Eric Garcetti
City of Los Angeles
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Dear Mayor Garcetti,

I am writing to express my concern about the proposal to dewater Mono County ranchlands and the potential impacts to wetlands, species, and their habitat. Recent letters to you from Mono County describe potentially devastating impacts to the natural environment, habitat and wildlife if the Los Angeles Department of Water and Power (LADWP) pursues its proposal to upend 70 years of water management policy and practice by eliminating irrigation and stock water from its ranch leases. In addition, and most urgently, I request your assistance in obtaining an immediate commitment to provide a water allocation this year to these ranchlands commensurate with current snowpack and water conditions.

The proposal by LADWP to dry up 6,200 acres of historic agricultural land in Mono County would have significant consequences to wildlife by destroying wetlands and riparian areas, and eliminating habitat for sensitive species such as the bi-state sage grouse. The loss of water would also significantly increase the risk of wildfires, which would threaten nearby communities.



QUESTIONS?

June 12, 2018
Regular Meeting
Item # 11a

Finance

Presentation