



**MEETING MINUTES
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, COUNTY OF MONO
STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

MEETING LOCATION Suite Z, 2nd Floor Minaret Mall, 437 Old Mammoth Rd., Suite Z, Mammoth Lakes, CA 93546

**Special Meeting
April 18, 2017**

4:30 PM Meeting Called to Order by Chair of the Board Corless.

Supervisors Present: Corless, Gardner, Johnston, Peters, and Stump.

Absent: None.

Break: 6:44 p.m.

Reconvene: 6:53 p.m.

Adjourn: 8:18 p.m.

Due to a clerical error, the Town Council's participation in this meeting was not properly noticed and thus, the meeting proceeded as a meeting of the Mono County Board of Supervisors only.

Pledge of Allegiance led by Mammoth Lakes Mayor Shields Richardson.

1 OPPORTUNITY FOR THE PUBLIC TO ADDRESS THE BOARD

Rich Boccia, Mammoth Lakes Recreation:

- It's time to take some action regarding Vons and the lines down the aisles with only 3 checkers.

2. AGENDA ITEMS

A. National Weather Service Briefing

Departments: Sheriff

(Sheriff Ingrid Braun) - Presentation by Chris Smallcomb from the National

Weather Service Reno regarding winter recap, spring and summer weather outlook.

Action: None (informational only). Provide any desired direction to staff.

Sheriff Ingrid Braun:

- Introduced Chris Smallcomb and Edan Weishahn from the National Weather Service forecast office in Reno.

Chris Smallcomb:

- Introduced himself, presented his power point on spring flood and summer fire seasons briefing.

B. Cannabis Workshop

Departments: Community Development

(Cannabis Joint Committee) - Presentation by Paul Smith of RCRC and Town and County staff regarding Cannabis regulations.

Action: None (informational only). Provide any desired direction to staff.

Paul Smith, RCRC:

- Went through his presentation. This power point is available under Additional Documents on the website.

BOARD QUESTIONS:

Supervisor Johnston:

- Asked why it appears the larger counties appear to be banning rather than regulating?

Supervisor Gardner:

- Is there an analysis of what's going on in other counties to be able to see what others are doing?

Supervisor Stump:

- Given the deadline of January 1, 2018, any movement to push back the date to allow state regulations to be issued and allow counties and towns to research and develop all the protocols that need to be developed?
- Any estimate on costs counties may incur in meeting all the requirements?
- The choices are to ban outright, create local regulations, or allow the State to regulate? Are there agencies that have opted out?

Supervisor Peters:

- Any benchmark dates that the Feds have put out that we have to look forward to?

Paul Smith, RCRC:

- Some of the counties that are banning appear to either be conservative or perhaps it's fear of the unknown. We don't have a regulatory structure in place.
- Currently, there is not one central clearing house for information on other counties. Government administration does want one central location for jurisdictions to send ordinances to, but right now information is mostly between counties and CAOs.
- Short answer to pushing back the January 1 deadline is I don't think so – doesn't see the admin moving back the date, all proceedings are working toward a January 1 date. What will be in place? There are rumors of a provisional or temporary licensing scheme. It will be hard to get a full license on Jan 1, but applications will be available. The time frame for review and issuance is unknown.

- As far as costs, suggested reaching out to Humboldt, Mendocino, and other colleagues to see what they have spent. The challenge is that the costs may be why some counties will be in, some will be out.
- There are no upcoming dates on the Fed side, but keep your eyes on the federal budget. The State side has more clarity, more defined dates: Key windows, end of April for the feds, end of April for state regulations, mid June for significant updates to statutes, then the end of the session for updates to statutes, and state regulatory agencies will issue things in August on the recreational side.
- There will be some jurisdictions that will stay silent, it remains to be seen how the State views that. If an agency does not create a regulatory structure, the State will not issue licenses.

Michael:

- The Town is working on departmental draft regulations now, should have a draft by end of May and do more community outreach in June and July.

Pam Kobylarz:

- A public workshop will happen on April 26th at 6pm, seeking public feedback at that time.

C. **Sierra Center Mall Lease Proposal**

Departments: Clerk of the Board

(Drew Hild; Paul Rudder) - Presentation of a lease proposal for Sierra Center Mall from Landlords, Drew Hild and Paul Rudder.

Action: None. Informational Only.

Drew Hild:

- There is space at SCM to accommodate both the County and the Town. Asks that we get direct Supervisor engagement to be able to get to the bottom line quicker.
- Original estimated need of 42,000 sf, always need to build larger than your initial needs. Lots of other costs involved in new buildings; he mentioned many costs that are not in the new building cost estimate.
- Gave a handout of cost estimates.

Supervisor Corless:

- The Board has been participating, but the Board operates as a whole, not as individuals. Staff was directed to participate for the Board.

D. **South County Facility Workshop**

Departments: Public Works

(Tony Dublino) - Presentation by Tony Dublino, providing updated analysis on South County Facility options.

Action: Receive Presentation and Provide Direction to Staff.

Tony Dublino:

- Summarized discussion from earlier Board meeting, to prepare an analysis for presentation to the Town.
- He is looking at the County's needs and finances, not to speak for the Town or lobby for their participation.

SPECIAL MEETING MINUTES

April 18, 2017

Page 4 of 4

- Believes the McFlex parcel is the only location where a Civic Center could be located that would include the courts, police, hospital, Town, and County offices.
- The issue of vacating existing space is a legitimate question.
- He feels if the county is to have an analysis of its potential construction costs, it would not be from the person who stands to benefit the greatest from a lease renewal.

Pam Kobylarz, TOML:

- Went through her power point.

Chris Taylor, HMC Architects:

- Hired over a year ago to look at the civic center, asked by both town and county. Their evaluation is an add on report. Cost estimate was conservative, used larger office spaces and hallways, assumed "government level" building. Some spaces were too large and grossing factor was high.
- Second largest firm in CA doing government public works.
- Went through power point.

PUBLIC COMMENT

John Wentworth:

- Town wants to engage in this conversation, would like to reschedule the meeting so they can. Town and county have different constituents; will be interesting to see how these two agencies can work together on a joint project.

Supervisor Stump:

- Many constituencies are the same people; the offices that are here serve the caseload that reside in Mammoth.

Jay Bretton:

- His figures from earlier come from 35 years of developing ski properties, used costs from actual projects in other ski areas.

Dan Holler, TOML:

- Would like a decision by Nov-Jan; have not yet had a discussion with their landlord at Minaret Mall. Have not done an analysis to whether there is sufficient space for them at SCM.

Tony Dublino:

- Direction received earlier is sufficient for him to move forward.

ADJOURN at 8:18 p.m.

ATTEST

STACY CORLESS
CHAIR OF THE BOARD

HELEN NUNN
ASSISTANT CLERK OF THE BOARD